

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,817	03/05/2002	Atsushi Mizutome	03500.016249	2989
5514 FITZPATRICI	7590 02/06/2008 C CELLA HARPER & SC	CINTO	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112	PENG, FRED H			
NEW YORK,	ORK, NY 10112 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/087,817	MIZUTOME ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fred Peng	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 No.	ovember 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>36,37,39,41,42,44 and 45</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>36,37,39,41,42,44 and 45</u> is/are reject	ted.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 36-37, 39, 41-42 and 44-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 36-37, 41-42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maissel et al (US 2004/0049787) in view of Dedrick (US 5,724,521).

Regarding Claims 36-37, 41-42 and 45, Maissel discloses a receiving apparatus (FIG.1, element 110) with corresponding method and computer-readable medium (memory) for receiving television broadcasting signals, comprising:

an operation unit (110) for receiving an operation instruction for the receiving apparatus instructed by a user of said receiving apparatus (Para 103);

a profile processing unit (FIG.2, 130) for generating an internal user profile on the basis of an operation history of said operation unit (Para 120 lines 9-17);

an external interface unit (FIG.2, 160) for inputting, from outside of said receiving apparatus, an external user profile which is generated on the basis of an operation history of another receiving apparatus (Para 154);

a storing unit for storing the internal user profile generated by said profile processing unit and the external user profile input by said external interface unit (FIG.2, 140);

a selection unit (FIG.3, 190) for selecting one of the internal user profile and the external user profile (Para 164);

a searching unit for reading out the user profile selected by said selection unit from said storing unit and searching a program corresponding to the read-out user profile among a plurality of transmitted programs multiplexed in the television broadcasting signals (Para 165).

Maissel is silent about a control unit for automatically deleting the external user profile stored in said storing unit after its usage.

In an analogous art, Dedrick teaches automatically deleting the external user profile stored in the memory after its usage (Col 7 lines 41-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Maissel's system to include automatic deletion of the external user profile after its use, as taught by Dedrick so personal information can be protected.

4. Claims 39 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maissel et al (US 2005/0028207 A1) and Dedrick (US 2003/0185546 A1) as applied to claims 36 and 41 above, and further in view of Hendricks et al (US 7,013,478 B1).

Regarding Claims 39 and 44, Maissel discloses a storage unit for storing a plurality of programs transmitted while multiplexed in the television broadcasting signals (Para 102 lines 10-15).

Maissel and Dedrick both are silent about said searching unit searches the program corresponding to the user profile selected by said selection unit from among the plurality of programs stored in said storage unit.

Application/Control Number:

10/087,817 Art Unit: 2623

In an analogous art, Hendricks discloses said searching unit searches the program corresponding to the user profile selected by said selection unit from among the plurality of programs stored in said storage unit (Col 2 lines 42- 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Maissel and Dedrick to include said searching unit searches the program corresponding to the user profile selected by said selection unit from among the plurality of programs stored in said storage unit, as taught by Hendricks with the added benefits to add the additional capabilities to search the preference programs from another common program source, such as the recorded programs.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Peng whose telephone number is (571) 270-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/087,817 Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fred Peng Patent Examiner Vivek Srivastava Supervisory Patent Examiner

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600